| Criteria | Levels of Performance | | | | Winner & Justification |
|---|---|--|--|---|------------------------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Organization & Clarity: viewpoints and responses are outlined in a clear, orderly manner | Viewpoints are generally unclear | Clear in some parts but not overall | Clear and orderly in most parts, but not all | Completely clear and orderly presentation | |
| Use of Arguments: reasons are given to support viewpoint | Few or no relevant reasons given | Some relevant reasons given | Relevant reasons given frequently, but lacks some consistence | Relevant reasons given consistently | |
| Use of Examples & Facts: evidence used to support reason | Few or no examples/facts offered | Some relevant examples/facts offered | Many examples/facts offered; most are relevant | Many relevant supporting examples/facts offered | |
| Use of Rebuttal: arguments made by the other team are responded to and dealt with effectively | No effective counter arguments made | Few effective counter arguments made | Some effective counter arguments made | Many effective counter arguments made | |
| Presentation Style: tone of voice volume pace of speech use of gestures convincing level of enthusiasm | Few style features used and/or are unconvincing | Few style features used convincingly | Many style features used, mostly convincing | All style features used convincingly | |